

**REMARKS**

Claims 8-16 are currently pending in this application. This Amendment amends claims 8 and 14 in accordance with the original disclosure. Support for the amendments can be found in the specification at page 4, lines 10-30 and page 8, lines 11-28, in the drawings, and in the claims as originally filed. No new matter has been added.

**Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)**

The Examiner has rejected claims 8-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stefano Zatti "Naming in OSI" (hereinafter "Zatti") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,122,520 to Want et al. (hereinafter "Want"). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Claims 8 and 14 are independent claims. Claims 8 and 14 have been amended. Support for all amendments may be found in the specification at page 4, lines 10-30 and in the drawings as originally filed. No new matter has been added.

Independent claim 8 as amended is directed to a method for providing unique URL/DNS definitions, i.e., codes created from pre-existing structures and pre-existing identification data transformed from discrete systems not otherwise connected to the Internet.

Want teaches a method of finding objects at specific locations using pre-existing URLs. URLs are commonly known as Internet related data and structure. In claim 8 of the present application, the pre-existing data is from a system that is not related to the system in which it is used, i.e., the Internet. Furthermore, amended claim 8 specifies pre-existing structures which are parallels of the structures utilized in systems separate from the

Internet. In one example, the data is from a telephone directory system, thereby allowing users to search the Internet with nothing more than a phone book or knowledge of area codes. Want does not teach using the pre-existing data from another discrete system separate from that in which it is to be used. Want also does not teach using a structure from another system separate from the Internet. We respectfully ask for reconsideration of the rejection of independent claim 8. Consideration of amended claim 8, without necessitating an RCE, by the Examiner is proper since the amendments merely narrow the scope of a limitation previously searched and considered by the Examiner.

Claims 9-13, and 15, which depend from claim 8 and add further limitations thereto, are also deemed allowable over Want and Zatti for the same reasons discussed above.

Independent claim 14 was amended to positively claim an assembly for providing unique URL/DNS definitions, i.e., codes created from pre-existing structures and pre-existing identification data transformed from discrete systems not otherwise connected to the Internet. For the reasons stated above with respect to claim 8, we respectfully ask for reconsideration of independent claim 14.

Claim 16, which depends from claim 14 and adds further limitations thereto, is also deemed allowable over Want and Zatti for the same reasons discussed above.


**CONCLUSION**

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is believed that all of the pending claims are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the Examiner's rejections and allowance of pending claims 8-16 are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM

By



John W. McIlvaine  
Registration No. 34,219  
Attorney for Applicant  
700 Koppers Building  
436 Seventh Avenue  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818  
Telephone: (412) 471-8815  
Facsimile: (412) 471-4094  
E-mail: webblaw@webblaw.com